

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CR. NO. S-02-0257 EJG

ROLAND ADAMS,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

v.

CR. NO. S-02-0560 EJG

ROLAND ADAMS,

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO  
PROCEED ON APPEAL IFP

\_\_\_\_\_  
On October 30, 2006, the court issued a scheduling order in both of the above-captioned cases which, among other things, appointed counsel to represent defendant on the portion of case number Cr. 02-0257 which had been remanded by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. In addition, the scheduling order held in

1 abeyance all other pending motions and denied defendant's request  
2 for counsel on those motions. Defendant has filed a notice of  
3 appeal from the scheduling order and seeks to proceed on appeal  
4 *in forma pauperis*, without prepayment of the filing fee. While  
5 it is unclear what rulings in the order might be appealable at  
6 this stage of the proceedings, that decision is for the appellate  
7 court, not the district court. After reviewing defendant's  
8 financial affidavit filed in connection with the motion, and  
9 noting that the court has previously granted *in forma pauperis*  
10 status to defendant in connection with his previous appeal, the  
11 motion to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* is granted.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: December 18, 2006

14 /s/ Edward J. Garcia  
15 EDWARD J. GARCIA, JUDGE  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26